

Advancing Patient Care in HER2+ Breast Cancer Nipple Areola

Lobules

Thanate Dajsakdipon, MD. Division of Medical Oncology Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital 8th March 2023 Duct

Disclosures

Advisory board

: IPSEN, Sanomics, Pfizer, Takeda

• Speaker

: Alliance pharma, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Bayer, Dr.Reddy, IPSEN, Esai, Jansenn, Merck, MSD, Novartis, Roche, Sandoz, Sanofi, Takeda, ZP therapeutics

Topics

- Role of anti-HER2 drug in adjuvant setting
- Role of anti-HER2 drug in metastatic setting
- Introduction for fixed-dose combination subcutaneous

Percent of Female Breast Cases by Cancer Subtype¹



SEER 21 2013-2017

Targeted Therapies for HER2+ Breast Cancer

HER2-Targeted mAbs HER2-Targeted ADCs Trastuzumab Pertuzumab T-DM1 Margetuximab T-DXd HER2 HER2 HER2 HER2 P Lapatinib Neratinib **Tucatinib HER2-Targeted TKIs**

Pertuzumab and Trastuzumab: Mechanisms of Action



ADCC = antibody-dependent cell-mediated cytotoxicity; ECD = extracellular domain Adapted from Harbeck N et al. *Breast Care (Basel)* 2013;8(1):49-55.

Neoadjuvant platform to personalize treatment



Miglietta F, Dieci MV, Griguolo G, Guarneri V. Cancer Treat Rev 2021



Content of this presentation is copyright and responsibility of the author. Permission is required for re-use.

Escalating neoadjuvant treatment: dual HER2 blockade



2021 ESVO

Content of this presentation is copyright and responsibility of the author. Permission is required for re-use.

1. Baselga J. Lancet 2012; 2. Guarneri V. J Clin Oncol 2012; 3. von Minckwitz. Lancet Oncol 2014; 4. Robidoux A. Lancet Oncol 2013; 5. Carey L.ASCO 2013; 6. Hurvitz S SABCS 2013 7. Gianni L. Lancet Oncol 2012; 8. Schneeweiss A. Ann Oncol 2013; 9 Untch M. 10. Nitz UA Ann Oncol 2017; 11. Ramshorst MS The Lancet 2018; 13. 12. Guarneri V. Ann Oncol 2019; 13. Hurvitz SA The Lancet 2017; 14. Bergh J ASCO 2019; 15. press release

Adjuvant Trastuzumab

RCTs supporting the incorporation of trastuzumab to adjuvant chemotherapy

RCT	Рор	ulatior	ı, n	Control ar	m	Experi	mental arm							
NSABP B31 Romond et al, NEJM 2005	2102	2		CT (anthra-tax) CT + Trastuzumab (qw) x 1 y			No	de +/-			
NCCTG N9831 Perez et al, JCO 2014	1944	1		CT (anthra-	·tax)	CT + T	rastuzumab	(qw) x 1 y				No	de positive	
HERA Piccart-Gebhar et al, NEJM 2005	5081	5081 CT (anthra+/-tax)			CT + Trastuzumab (q3w) x 1 y CT + Trastuzumab (q3w) x 2 y							I		
BCIRG006 Slamon et al, NEJM 2011	3222	2		CT (anthra-	tax)	CT (anthra-tax) + Trastuzumab (qw) → Trastuzumab (q3w) x 1 y CT (anthra-free) + Trastuzumab (qw) → Trastuzumab (q3w) x 1 y				No	de positive, + >2 cm	>1 cm		
FinHER Joensuu et al, NEJM 2006	232	(HER2	+)	CT (anthra-	+/-tax)	CT + T	CT + Trastuzumab (qw) x 9 w							
PACS-04 Spielmann et al, JCO 2009	528			CT (anthra	+/-tax)	CT (anthra+/-tax) + Trastuzumab (q3w) x 1 y					м	oya et al, Cochr	ane Library, 2012	
Study or subgroup	Experi-	Control	log[Hazard	Hazar	d Ratio	Weight	Hazard Ratio	Study or subgroup	Experi- mental	Control	log[Hazard Ratio]	Hazard Ratio	o Weight	Hazard Ratio
	N	N	(SE)	IV. Rando	m. 95% CI		IV. Random, 95% CI		N	N	(SE)	IV, Random, 95	% CI	IV, Random, 95% CI
B31	1672	1679	-0.7 (0.1)) +		21.82%	0.48[0.39,0.6]	B31	1672	1679	-0.4 (0.17)	+	22.04%	0.67[0.48,0.94]
BCIRG006	1074	1073	-0.4 (0.1) +		23.22%	0.64[0.52,0.78]	BCIRG006	1074	1073	-0.5 (0.13)	•	37.7%	0.63[0.49,0.81]
Buzdar	23	19	-2.3 (1.1))		0.61%	0.1[0.01,0.91]	Buzdar	23	19	0 (0)			Not estimable
FinHer	115	116	-0.9 (0.35)		5.23%	0.42[0.21,0.83]	FinHer	115	116	-0.6 (0.36)		4.92%	0.55[0.27,1.11]
HERA	1703	1698	-0.5 (0.05) 🔹		24.65%	0.63[0.53,0.75]	HERA	1703	1698	-0.5 (0.17)	+	22.04%	0.63[0.45,0.88]
NOAH	117	118	-0.5 (0.22)		10.68%	0.59[0.38,0.91]	NOAH	117	118	-0.5 (0.3)	-+-	7.08%	0.62[0.34,1.11]
PACS-04	260	268	-0.1 (0.18) -	-	13.78%	0.86[0.6,1.22]	PACS-04	260	268	0.2 (0.32)	+	6.22%	1.27[0.68,2.38]
Total (95% CI) Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0.02; Chi ² =12.25, d	lf=6(P=0.06); I ² :	=51.02%	ISEAS SUR\	E-FREE *		100%	0.6[0.5,0.71]	Total (95% CI)	0; Chi ² =4.7, df=5(P=0.45); l ² =0%			•	100%	0.66[0.57,0.77]
Test for overall effect: Z=5.89(P<0.0001)								Test for overall effect	: Z=5.16(P<0.0001)					
		Favour	rs experimenta	0.01 0.1	1 10	100 Favours cor	ntrol			Favour	rs experimental 0.01	0.1 1	10 100 Favours	control

Personalizing adjuvant treatment





Escalating adjuvant therapy Dual HER2-blockade: Trastuzumab + Pertuzumab



Or node negative with tumors > 0.5 to \leq 1 cm + at least 1 of following: histologic/nuclear grade 3; ER negative and PgR negative; aged < 35 yr. Node-negative enrollment capped after first 3,655 patients randomized.



Piccart et al, JCO 2021, de Azambuja et al, ASCO 2021

Escalating post-neoadjuvant therapy Selecting high-risk pts based on pathologic response Post-neoadjuvant TDM1

1:1R

KATHERINE trial

- N= 1486
- Centrally confirmed HER2-positive breast cancer
- Residual invasive tumor in breast or axillary nodes after PCT including:
 - Minimum of 6 cycles of CT
 - Minimum of 9 weeks of T

T-DM1 3.6 mg/kg IV Q3W, 14 cycles

Trastuzumab 6 mg/kg IV Q3W, 14 cycles 75% operable BC 72% HR+ Neoadjuvant therapy:

- 100% taxane + trastuzumab
- 78% neoadjuvant anthracyclines
- 18% neoadjuvant pertuzumab(+trastuzumab)



Escalating post-neoadjuvant therapy Selecting high-risk pts based on pathologic response Post-neoadjuvant TDM1



Group	
All	-
Clinical stage at presentation Operable Inoperable	
Hormone receptor status Negative (ER negative and PgR negative/unknown) Positive (ER and/or PgR positive)	
Preoperative HER2-directed therapy Trastuzumab alone Trastuzumab plus additional HER2-directed agent(s)	
Pathological nodal status after preoperative therapy Node positive Node negative/not done	
Age group (years) <40 40–64 ≥65	
Race* White Asian American Indian or Alaska Native Black or African American	
Residual disease ≤1 cm with negative axillary lymph nodes ypT1a, ypT1b or ypT1mic and ypN0	
0.	20 0.50 1.00 2.00 5.0

Content of this presentation is copyright and responsibility of the author. Permission is required for re-use.

von Minckwitz G, et al. NEJM 2018



cT1a-b and cN0		c] and	Г1с сN0	≥cT2 and/or cN+			
		enrolled both in the A \rightarrow discussion on	PT and KATHERINE trials a single-patient basis				
UPFRONT	SURGER	Y	NEOADJUVANT TREAMENT				
≤pT1c and N0	≥pT2 and/or N+		CT + trastuzumab	CT + trastu	rastuzumab+pertuzumab		
ADJUVANT paclitaxel +	ADJUVANT CT + Trastuzumab (+ Pertuzumab in N+ or		ypT0/is ypN0		RESIDUAL DISEASE		
(APT like)	Careful a	HR-) assessment of the	TRASTUZUMA (to complete 1	. В у)	TDM1 (to complete 1 y)		
	risk/benefit ratio of extended NERATINIB in N+/HR+		Ongoing clinical trials of de-e treatment for pts with po	scalated CR			
			No data on the possibile benefit of including pertuzumab if administered neoadjuvantly				

Standard of Care for HER2+ Advanced Breast Cancer



Rimawi M et al SABC 2020; Swain S et al NEJM 2015; Verma S et al 2012; Geyer C et al NEJM 2006; Blackwell K et al JCO 2010

A 2022 Approach to Therapy for Metastatic HER2+ BC:



*AI+TP in select cases and for maintenance in ER+ disease; # endocrine Tx + HER2 therapy at clinically appropriate points for ER+ MBC

PHESGO®▼ is a subcutaneous injection given every three weeks¹

Administration and observation times for PHESGO vs PERJETA IV and trastuzumab IV³



Loading (initial) dose

Adapted from Roche, Data on File. M-GB-00002430.

*Observation times of the loading dose of PERJETA IV and trastuzumab IV can range from 300-330 minutes.

*Administration times of the maintenance dose of PERJETA IV and trastuzumab IV can range from 60-90 minutes; Observation times of the

maintenance dose of PERJETA IV and trastuzumab IV can range from 120-150 minutes.

[‡]The total percentage time saving achieved between PHESGO and PERJETA IV and trastuzumab IV can range from 89% to 92%.

What are the differences between PH FDC SC and Herceptin SC?

	Herceptin SC	PH FDC SC				
Pharmaceutical form	Ready-to-use vial for manual injection ^{1–3}					
Delivery excipient	rHuPH20 (2000 U/mL) ^{1,2}					
Loading dose* Administration time Observation time	Fixed dose: 600 mg Herceptin SC (5 mL) ¹ Less than 5 minutes ¹ 6 hours	Fixed dose: 1200 mg pertuzumab and 600 mg trastuzumab (15 mL) SC ^{2,3} 8 minutes 30 minutes				
Maintenance dose* Administration time Observation time	Fixed dose: 600 mg Herceptin SC (5 mL) ¹ Less than 5 minutes ¹ 2 hours	Fixed dose: 600 mg pertuzumab and 600 mg trastuzumab (10 mL) SC ^{2,3} 5 minutes 15 minutes				
Key trials	HannaH, ⁴ PrefHer, ⁵ SafeHer, ⁶ MetaPHER ⁷	BO30185,8 FeDeriCa,3 PHranceSCa9				

* Administration/observation times vary a ccording to local labels. PH FDC SC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; rHUPH20, recombinant human hyaluronidase; q3w, every 3 weeks; SC, subcutaneous. Herceptin SC SmPC 2019; 2. Roche, Data on file. WO40324 Protocol Version 2 – 2018; 3. Tan AR, et al. SABCS 2019 (Abstract PD4-07);
Is mael G, et al. Lancet Oncol 2012; 13:869–878; 5. Pivot X, et al. Ann Oncol 2014; 25:1979–1987; 6. Gligorov J, et al. Eur J Cancer 2017; 82:237–246;
Kümmel S, et al. ESMO 2018 (Abstract 323P and poster presentation); 8. Kirschbrown WP, et al. J Clin Pharmacol 2019; 59:702–716;
https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03674112 (Accessed December 2019).

FeDeriCa is a Phase III study assessing the PK, efficacy, and safety of PH FDC SC vs. P + H IV



^{*} PIV loading dose: 840 mg; maintenance: 420 mg q3w. HIV loading dose if needed: 8 mg/kg; maintenance: 6 mg/kg IV q3w.

 $^{^{\}rm t}$ PH FDC SCloading dose: P 1200 mg/H 600 mg in 15 mL; maintenance: P 600 mg/H 600 mg in 10 mL q 3w.

AC, doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide; C_{trough}, serum trough concentration; ddAC, dose-dense doxorubicin + cyclophosphamide; eBC, early breast cancer;

DRFI, distant relapse-free interval; EFS, event-free survival; H, Herceptin; IDFS, invasive disease-free survival; IV, intravenous; OS, overall survival;

P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; PK, pharmacokinetics; qw, every week; qxw, every x weeks;

SC, subcutaneous; tpCR, total pathological complete response (ypT0/is ypN0).

FeDeriCa met its primary endpoint: Cycle 7 (pre-dose Cycle 8) pertuzumab serum C_{trough} within PH FDC SC was non-inferior to P IV



Lower limit of the 90% CI for pertuzumab serum C_{trough} GMR exceeded the non-inferiority margin of 0.8

* This population includes only patients who a dhered to the pre-specified criteria for the schedule of PK assessments. C_{trough}, serum trough concentration; FDC, fixed-dose combination; GMR; geometric mean ratio; H, Herceptin; IV, intrave nous; P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; PK, pharmacokinetic.

Tan AR, et al. SABCS 2019 (Abstract PD4-07).

Cycle 7 (pre-dose Cycle 8) trastuzumab serum C_{trough} within PH FDC SC was non-inferior to H IV



Lower limit of the 90% CI for trastuzumab serum C_{trough} GMR exceeded the non-inferiority margin of 0.8

* This population includes only patients who a dhered to the pre-specified criteria for the schedule of PK assessments. C_{trough}, serum trough concentration; FDC, fixed-dose combination; GMR; geometric mean ratio; H, Herceptin; IV, intrave nous; P, PERJETA; PH FDC SC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; PK, pharmacokinetic

Tan AR, et al. SABCS 2019 (Abstract PD4-07).

PH FDC SC had almost identical tpCR rates to P + H IV¹



tpCR rates observed are in keeping with data from previous studies of PERJETA–Herceptin + chemotherapy in the neoadjuvant setting^{2–5}

H, Herceptin; IV, intravenous; P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; tpCR, total pathological complete response (ypTO/is ypNO). 1. Tan AR, et al. SABCS 2019 (Abstract PD4-07); 2. Schneeweiss A, et al. Ann Oncol 2013; **24**:2278–2284; 3. Loibl S, et al. Ann Oncol 2017; **28**:497–504; 4. Hurvitz SA, et al. Lancet Oncol 2018; **19**:115–126; 5. Swain SM, et al. Ann Oncol 2018; **29**:646–653.

Most common AEs were balanced between treatment arms¹

AEs (occurring in ≥30% of patients) No. of patients, n (%)*	P + H IV n = 252	PH FDC SC n = 248
Alopecia	177 (70.2)	191 (77.0)
Nausea	152 (60.3)	146 (58.9)
Diarrhoea	139 (55.2)	145 (58.5)
Anaemia	103 (40.9)	84 (33.9)
Asthenia	76 (30.2)	70 (28.2)

Incidences of AEs were consistent with other studies that included PERJETA–Herceptin + chemotherapy^{2–4}

* Multiple occurrences of the same AE in a n individual are counted only once. AE, a dvers e event; H, Herceptin; IV, intravenous; P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use.

There was no meaningful difference in cardiac safety between treatment arms

No. patients, n (%)	P + H IV n = 252	PH FDC SC n = 248
Primary cardiac event¹	0	2 (0.8)
Heart failure (NYHA III/IV) and significant LVEF decline*	0	1 (0.4)
Cardiac death (definite or probable)	0	1 (0.4) [§]
Secondary cardiac event ^{+,1}	9 (3.6)	4 (1.6)
Identified by initial LVEF assessments	9 (3.6)	4 (1.6)
Confirmed by second LVEF assessment	2 (0.8)	1 (0.4)
LVEF declines ² ≥1 LVEF significant LVEF drop [‡] Asymptomatic LVEF decline requiring treatment or leading to discontinuation of anti-HER2 treatment	7 (2.8) 10 (4.0)	5 (2.0) 5 (2.0)

^{*} Significant LVEF decline defined as a drop in LVEF of \geq 10 percentage points from baseline and to <50%.

⁺ Secondary cardiac events defined as asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic significant LVEF

declines by initial assessment or confirmed by second assessment.

⁺ Defined by a drop in LVEF of \geq 10 percentage points from baseline and to <50%.

[§] One cardiac death occurred after Cycle 2 (prior to start of anti-HER2 treatment) in an 81-year-old patient.

H, Herceptin; IV, intravenous; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association;

P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA-Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use.

PHranceSCa is a Phase II, open-label, randomised cross-over study evaluating patient preference for PH FDC SC vs. PH IV



All patients were female; median age was 49 years.

^{*} PIV loading dose if needed: 840 mg; maintenance: 420 mg q3w. HIV loading dose if needed: 8 mg/kg; maintenance: 6 mg/kg IV q3w.

⁺ PH FDC SC loading dose if needed: P 1200 mg/H 600 mg in 15 mL; maintenance: P 600 mg/H 600 mg in 10 mL q3w.

Loading doses were only required for patients who had \geq 6 weeks since their last neoadjuvant dose of P + H IV at study entry, or had \geq 6 weeks since their last. study

treatment during the study. Maintenance doses were used for subsequent a dministrations or dose delays <6 weeks.

⁺ Target enrolment 140 patients; a ctual recruitment = 160 patients.

eBC, early breast cancer; chemo, chemotherapy; H, Herceptin; HCP, healthcare professional; HR, hormone receptor;

HRQoL, health-related quality of life; IV, intravenous; NACT, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; P, PERJETA; pCR, pathological complete response;

PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; PPQ, Patient Preference Questionnaire;

q 3w, every 3 weeks; SC, subcutaneous; TASQ, Therapy Administration Satisfaction Question naire.

At the primary analysis, 85% of patients (95% CI = 78.5, 90.2) preferred PHESGO, regardless of sequencing¹

• Based on Q1 of the PPQ: "All things considered, which method of administration did you prefer?"



The proportion of patients who preferred the SC method of administration was consistent with PrefHer (89%)² and PrefMab (77%)³

No cardiac safety concerns were identified during the crossover period at the interim analysis^{1,2}

Number of patients n, (%)	P + H PH FI	IV→ DC SC	PH FD P +		
	P + H IV Cycles 1–3 (n = 56)	PH FDC SC Cycles 4–6 (n = 33)	PH FDC SC Cycles 1–3 (n = 60)	P + H IV Cycles 4–6 (n = 32)	All patients (N = 116)
Cardiac disorders Total number of patients with at least one AE Arrythmia Total number of events	0 0 0	1 (3.0) 1 (3.0) 1	0 0 0	0 0 0	1 (0.9) 1 (0.9) 1

• One grade 1 arrhythmia event occurred during treatment with PH FDC SC in the P + H IV→PH FDC SC arm; this was unrelated to the HER2-directed therapy and did not lead to treatment discontinuation

 Four patients experienced symptomatic LVD during the crossover period (1.1% PH FDC SC vs. 3.4% P + H IV)*

* Two Grade 3 events occurred, one during P + H IV treatment and one during PH FDCSC treatment. AE, a dvers e event; H, Herceptin; IV, intravenous; LVD, left ventricular disease; P, PERJETA; PH FDCSC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use.

Clinical Value: PH FDC SC could provide benefits in costs, time and reduction of patient/HCP burden

Shorter administration time (5–8 minutes) and observation period (15–30 minutes) of PH FDC SC may reduce the burden on patients, carers and providers^{1,2}

PH FDC SC has a less invasive administration (single SC injection) vs. IV (two separate IV infusions), freeing patients from the burden of IV-related pain, bruising and irritation³

Fixed-dose formulation may help reduce risk of dosing errors, reduce drug wastage and increase availability of pharmacy staff for other tasks^{2,4}

F

Reduced time required for drug preparation and administration with PH FDC SC vs. IV has the potential to relieve strain on infusion centres and allow greater patient access²

No new safety signals have been observed when switching from IV to PH FDC SC and vice versa⁵

Roche, Data on file. WO40324 Protocol Version 2 – 2018;
De Cock E, et al. Cancer Med 2016; 5:389–397;
Pivot X, et al. Ann Oncol 2014; 25:1979–1987;
De Cock E, et al. EBCC (Abstract 42 and poster 033);
O'Sha ughnessy J, et al. ESMO Breast 2020 (Abstract 800).

IV, intravenous; PH FDC SC, PERJETA–Herceptin fixed-dose combination for subcutaneous use; SC, subcutaneous.

Conclusion

- Adjuvant anti-HER2 therapy improves DFS and OS in EBC with HER2 overexpression
- **Dual anti-HER2 blockade** improves DFS in high-risk EBC with HER2 overexpression
- Dual anti-HER2 blockade is a standard treatment of 1st line MBC, HER2 overexpression
- **Phesgo SC** supported by clinical evidence is an alternative drug of dual anti HER2 that provides benefits in costs, time, and reduction of patient/HCP burden

